UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,242	09/30/2003	Un Nyoung Sa	054358-5015	054358-5015 5386	
9629 7590 06/25/2007 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P		
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/673,242	SA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	larch 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 and 17 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 5-15 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al (US 6,417,899).

Jones discloses (fig. 2) a liquid crystal display device comprising;

Claim 5:

- a transparent insulating substrate (29)
- a black matrix (21) formed on the transparent insulating substrate
- a color filter layer (23, 25, 27) formed on an upper surface of the black matrix
- a polarizing film (17) formed on the color filter layer
- a common electrode (15) formed on the polarizing film

Jones lacks disclosure of wherein the polarizing film is parallel to the transparent insulating substrate.

However, since the shape of the internal polarizing (17) depends on the shape of the polarizer alignment layer (19), (col. 6, lines 26-37), it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to obtain the polarizing film parallel to the transparent insulating substrate when the polarizer alignment layer is parallel to the transparent

insulating substrate, and therefore, this limitation does not patentably distinguish the invention.

Page 3

Claim 6:

 an overcoat film ('19' – polarizer alignment layer '19' could be acted as an overcoat film: emphasis added) formed between the color filter layer and the polarizing film

Claim 7:

wherein the common electrode includes ITO

Claims 8-10 are met the discussion regarding claims 5-7 rejection above, respectively.

Claim 11:

wherein forming the color filter layer includes sequentially forming red, green,
 and blue color filter layers

Claim 12:

- a thin film transistor substrate
- a color filter substrate having a black matrix (21)
- a liquid crystal material (11) formed between the thin film transistor substrate and the color filter substrate
- a pixel electrode (7) formed on the thin film transistor substrate and a common electrode (15) formed on the color filter substrate, the pixel electrode and the common pixel aligning orientation of liquid crystal molecules of the liquid crystal material

Application/Control Number: 10/673,242

Art Unit: 2871

• a polarizing film (17 or 53) contacting at least one of the pixel electrode and the

Page 4

common electrode for transmitting light vibrating in one direction

Jones lacks disclosure of wherein the polarizing film is parallel to the transparent

insulating substrate.

However, since the shape of the internal polarizing (17) depends on the shape of

the polarizer alignment layer (19), (col. 6, lines 26-37), it would have been obvious to

one ordinary skill in the art to obtain the polarizing film parallel to the transparent

insulating substrate when the polarizer alignment layer is parallel to the transparent

insulating substrate, and therefore, this limitation does not patentably distinguish the

invention.

Claim 13:

• wherein the polarizing film includes polyvinyl alcohol (col. 2, lines 5-8)

Claim 14:

• an overcoat film ('19' - polarizer alignment layer '19' could be acted as an

overcoat film: emphasis added) formed beneath the polarizing film, wherein the

polarizing film contacts the common electrode

Claim 15:

a first substrate including a plurality of pixel electrodes (7)

a second substrate including a common electrode (15), a color filter film (23, 25,

27), and a black matrix (21)

a liquid crystal material (11) formed between the first and second substrates

Application/Control Number: 10/673,242 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

 an overcoat film ('19' – polarizer alignment layer '19' could be acted as an overcoat film: emphasis added) on the color filter film

• a polarizing film (17) formed beneath the common electrode

Even though Jones lacks disclosure of wherein an upper surface of the overcoat film is flat, it was well known and would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to have the upper surface of the overcoat film is flat as for the advantages such as flatting/smoothing the color filter surface.

Claim 17:

- a first substrate including a plurality of pixel electrodes (7)
- a second substrate including a common electrode (15), a color filter film (23, 25,
 27), and a black matrix (21)
- a liquid crystal material (11) formed between the first and second substrates
- an overcoat film ('19' polarizer alignment layer '19' could be acted as an overcoat film: emphasis added) on the color filter film
- a polarizing film (17) formed beneath the common electrode
- wherein the overcoat film directly contacts the color filter film and the polarizing film

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. The indicated allowability of claims 5-14, 16 and 17 is withdrawn in view of the reference(s) to Jones. Rejections based on the reference(s) above.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are allowed since there is no prior art of record that teaches or suggests a liquid crystal display and a method of making thereof comprising a

relationship of various elements as claimed with the specific allowable subject matter cited in the following claims:

- a passivation film formed on the transparent insulating substrate including the source and drain electrodes and the data lines
- a polarizing film formed on the passivation film
- a pixel electrode formed on at least the polarizing film, wherein the polarizing film and the pixel electrode extend completely over the data line, and the polarizing film contacts the passivation film

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-15 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,242

Art Unit: 2871

Page 7

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2871

TN

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800